

Impact of high maternal body mass index on length of gestation and maternal delivery outcomes

Sarwat Navid, Shahida Arshad, Kanwal Atif, Raabia Arshad Meo

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Combined Military Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan

Objective: To evaluate the affect of raised BMI on pregnancy outcome in terms of prolonged pregnancy, need for induction of labor and mode of delivery.

Methodology: Study included 100 overweight/obese and 100 non-obese patients. Ones with pregnancies prolonged beyond their expected date of delivery were induced at 41⁺¹ weeks of gestation. Data was analyzed by using SPSS 16. Both groups were compared by using chi-square test.

Results: Among overweight/obese group, 32% had prolonged pregnancy and were induced for labor whereas this percentage among non-obese group was 25%. Among overweight/obese ladies, spontaneous vaginal delivery occurred after induction of labor (IOL) in 59.38% cases, assisted vaginal delivery occurred in 9.38% cases and C-

section was done in 31.25% cases, whereas among non-obese ladies, these percentages were 68%, 8% and 24%, respectively. In overweight/obese group 68% had spontaneous onset of labor as compared to 75% among non-obese group. Out of these 68% overweight/obese females, spontaneous vaginal delivery occurred in 60.3% cases, assisted vaginal delivery in 13.2% cases and 26.5% had C-sections. Out of 75% non-obese females, these percentages were 70.7%, 9.3% and 20% respectively.

Conclusion: The risk of cesarean delivery did not increase significantly in overweight/obese group and induction of labor was a safer management option in this group. (Rawal Med J 2013;38:279-282).

Key Words: Obesity, prolonged pregnancy, Body Mass Index.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is an emerging global public health issue. Worldwide its prevalence (BMI>30) is 15-20%, accounts for 27% of total health care cost. In UK 28% of pregnant women are overweight and 11% are obese,¹ while in USA the incidence is 18.5-38.3%. Obesity is not only risk to general health e.g. HTN, coronary artery disease, thromboembolism and metabolic disorders like diabetes mellitus, but also it has impact on pregnancy resulting in increase maternal, intrapartum and post partum maternal, fetal and neonatal complications. In obese pregnant ladies there is increased chances of miscarriages, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, gestational diabetes mellitus, thromembolism, infections, sleep apneas, prolonged labor,⁵ increased risk of interventions e.g. induction of labor, C-section, postpartum hemorrhage,² wound infection,⁴ and failure of epidural analgesia.⁷

Perinatal complications seen in overweight

pregnant ladies include birth defects especially neural tube defects, IUGR, still births, neonatal deaths and need for intensive care admissions. Thus, it is suggested that all pregnancies in obese ladies should be labeled and managed as high risk and should be evaluated by senior obstetricians and anesthetists for pregnancy and labour.

Obesity is strongly associated with prolonged pregnancy which may be due to increase leptin levels which have physiologic inhibitory effect on uterine contractility leading to postdates pregnancies and dysfunctional labour even when labour starts. NICE recommends induction of labour at 41-42weeks and RCOG at 41⁺¹ week of gestation to decrease perinatal morbidity and mortality. However, induction is associated with increased risk of c-section and its associated complications e.g. hemorrhage, infection, thromboembolism, anesthesia related

complications which multiplies if patient undergoing c-sections is obese.² The aim of this study was to compare the data of our population with international research work.

METHODOLOGY

This study was carried out at Department of obstetrics and gynecology, CMH, Lahore, Pakistan from May 2011 to July 2012 and included 100 overweight/obese pregnant ladies with BMI of 25-35 kg/m² and 100 non-obese ladies with BMI of 18-24.9kg/m² at booking. All patients included were booked in first trimester and were followed till delivery. Those who had pregnancies prolonged beyond their expected date of delivery were induced at 41+1 weeks of gestation. Patients with BMI of 18-24.9kg/m² in non-obese group and with BMI of 25-35kg/m² in overweight/obese group with age between 25-35years, parity 1-4 and Height >5feet were included. The BMI was calculated at booking in 1st trimester. Women with late booking >14weeks of gestation, twin pregnancies/multiple pregnancy, pregnancies with medical disorders, placenta previa, APH, preterm labor and those with H/o previous c-section were excluded from the study.

Antenatal records were reviewed at time of admission to labor room including height and weight (at booking) to calculate BMI, any associated medical disorders, past obstetrical history and review of investigation especially booking scan to establish the gestation. Systemic and obstetrical examination was done at 41+1 weeks of gestation with vaginal pessary prostaglandin E₂ 3mg, 2 doses, 6 hours apart. Mode of delivery recorded in all patients. Data were analyzed using SPSS v 16. Both groups were compared by using chi-square test taking $p \leq 0.05$ as significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of patients was 26.72±4.41years. Among overweight/obese cases, there were 32 (32%) cases who had pregnancy prolonged beyond expected date of delivery (>40weeks of gestation) and were induced for labor while among non-obese cases there were 25% cases who had prolonged pregnancy and were induced ($p=0.274$).

Table 1. Basic characteristics of study population.

	Overweight/ Obese	Non-obese	P
Number	100	100	
Age, Years (mean±SD)	29.12±3	26.72±4.41	0.002
BMI, kg/m ² (mean±SD)	28.9±2.01	22.5±1.51	0.000
Prolonged Pregnancy, n (%)	32 (32%)	25 (25%)	0.274
Induction of Labour n (%)	32 (32%)	25 (25%)	0.274
Spontaneous Labour n (%)	68 (68%)	75 (75%)	

Among overweight/obese ladies, spontaneous vaginal delivery occurred after induction of labour (IOL) in 59.38% cases, assisted vaginal delivery in 9.38% cases and C-section in 31.25% cases. Among non-obese ladies, 68% cases had spontaneous vaginal delivery, 8% had assisted vaginal delivery and 24% had C-sections after induction of labour (Table 1).

Table 2. Mode of delivery after induction of labour

	Overweight/ Obese	Non-obese	P
Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery (%)	19/32=59.38%	17/25=68%	0.44
Assisted Vaginal Delivery (%)	3/32=9.38%	2/25=8%	
C-section (%)	10/32=31.25%	6/25=24%	

Table 3. Mode of delivery after spontaneous labor.

	Overweight/ Obese	Non-obese	P
Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery (%)	41/68=60.3%	53/75=70.7%	0.299
Assisted Vaginal Delivery (%)	9/68=13.2%	7/75=9.3%	
C-section (%)	18/68=26.5%	15/75=20%	

Mode of delivery is shown in Tables 2 and 3.

DISCUSSION

Raised maternal BMI has linear association with fetal maternal and neonatal morbidity after

considering all confounding factors. Differences in the results may be due to different threshold values used for defining obesity. In our study we have used globally accepted definitions of overweight and obesity. Globally accepted definition of obesity is BMI > 30 Kg/m², overweight is BMI 25-29.9 Kg/m²; while normal BMI is considered between 18-24.9 Kg/m². BMI was calculated in first trimester because mostly our patients do not come for pre-pregnancy counselling so their pre-pregnancy weights are not known. It has been proposed that BMI and nutrition may be involved in timing of onset of labour, possibly operating through endocrine mechanism. Results of our study showed that 32% of ladies had pregnancy prolonged beyond their expected date of delivery in overweight and obese group as compared to 25% of non-obese group (p=0.274).

A total of 57 patients had induction of labor in both groups. Out of which 32 patients (32%) were from obese/overweight group and 25 patients (25%) were from non-obese group. So greater number of patients among obese group had induction of labour than in non-obese group. But the difference was found insignificant between two groups (p = 0.274). This is in contrast to a study that showed a significant association between obesity and induction of labor and the risk increased with degree of obesity. The likely cause of different is that we have excluded morbidly obese patients i.e. BMI > 35 Kg/m². The other possible factors could be different criteria for obesity used in different studies.

Independent of the fact that either labour was induced or it was spontaneous onset, 28% patients amongst overweight/obese group and 21% amongst non-obese group had C-sections. So the risk of C-section among two groups is not significantly different. Results of this study are consistent with a study which concluded that obesity is not significantly associated with increased C-section rate. Findings of our study are in contrast to few other studies. A study found that risk of cesarean delivery was 21.76 % for primiparous women, which increase consistently and significantly with increasing BMI (for BMI 20-24.9 Kg/m² its 18.44%; for BMI 25-29.9 Kg/m² its 24.96% and for BMI 30 Kg/m² its 37.6%) . The results of our study are

different from above mentioned study possibly because we have not included primiparous women. Barau et al described the linear association between maternal pre pregnancy BMI and risk of C-section in term deliveries.⁸ A Canadian study showed BMI >25 Kg/m² as an independent risk factor for increased C-section irrespective of age, parity and socio-economic factors. Results of our study are supported by another study which shows that percentage of cesarean deliveries in obese women without obesity related complications is similar to that in control group.

It is important to note that 68% among obese group and 75% in non obese group had spontaneous onset of labor before 41⁺¹ weeks of gestation, (p = 0.24) showing that there is no significant difference for the need of induction in both groups. Although it is in contrast to many studies which showed that change in BMI affects the length of gestation. It is also important to note that 72% among obese group had vaginal delivery as compared to 79% in non-obese group. Thus, chances of vaginal delivery is comparable in overweight/obese vs non-obese group. It was noticed that even in patients with induction of labor in obese group vaginal delivery was achieved in 68.7%, while among non obese group 76% had vaginal delivery (p=0.44).

CONCLUSION

High maternal BMI in 1st trimester did not significantly affect the length of gestation and did not increase the risk of prolonging the pregnancy beyond expected date of delivery and need for induction of labor. Induction of labor, when required, is a safer option for ladies with raised BMI without significantly increasing risk of C-sections. Larger studies are required to further validate the results.

Author contributions:

Conception and design: Sarwat Navid
 Collection and assembly of data: Sarwat Navid, Shahida Arshad
 Analysis and interpretation of the data: Sarwat Navid, Kanwal Atif
 Drafting of the article: Rabia Arshad Meo
 Critical revision of the article for important intellectual content: Sarwat Navid, Shahida Arshad
 Statistical expertise: Sarwat Navid, Shahida Arshad
 Final approval and guarantor of the article: Sarwat Navid
Corresponding author email: sarwat.navid@yahoo.com
Conflict of Interest: None declared
 Rec. Date: Jan 07, 2013 Accept Date: Jun 14, 2013

REFERENCES

1. WHO strategy on diet, physical activity and health 2003. Obesity and overweight. Available at: www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/=publications/facts/-obesity/en.
2. Bhattacharya S, Campbell DM, Liston WA, Bhattacharya S. Effects of body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in nulliparous women delivering singleton babies. *BMC Public Health* 2007;7:168.
3. Cancellato R, Clement K. Is obesity an inflammatory illness? Role of low-grade inflammation and macrophage infiltration in human white adipose tissue. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 2006;113:1141-7.
4. Usha Kiran TS, Hemmadi S, Bethel J, Evans J. Outcome of pregnancy in a woman with an increased body mass index. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 2005;112:768-72.
5. Nuthalapaty FS, Rouse DJ, Owen J. The association of maternal weight with cesarean risk, labor duration, and cervical dilation rate during labor induction. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004;103:452-6.
6. Weiss JL, Malone FD, Emig D, Ball RH, Nyberg DA, Comstock CH, et al. Obesity, obstetric complications and cesarean delivery rate--a population-based screening study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004;190:1091-7.
7. Dresner M, Brocklesby J, Bamber J. Audit of the influence of body mass index on the performance of epidural analgesia in labour and the subsequent mode of delivery. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 2006;113:1178-81.
8. Barau G, Robillard PY, Hulsey TC, Dedeker F, Laffite A, Gérardin P, et al. Linear association between maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index and risk of caesarean section in term deliveries. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 2006;113:1173-7.
9. Hibbard JU, Gilbert S, Landon MB, Hauth JC, Leveno KJ, Spong CY, et al. Trial of labor or repeat cesarean delivery in women with morbid obesity and previous cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol* 2006;108:125-33.
10. Kristensen J, Vestergaard M, Wisborg K, Kesmodel U, Secher NJ. Pre-pregnancy weight and the risk of stillbirth and neonatal death. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 2005;112:403-8.
11. Nohr EA, Bech BH, Davies MJ, Frydenberg M, Henriksen TB, Olsen J. Prepregnancy obesity and fetal death: a study within the Danish National Birth Cohort. *Obstet Gynecol* 2005;106:250-9.
12. Satpathy HK, Fleming A, Frey D, Barsoom M, Satpathy C, Khandalavala J. Maternal obesity and pregnancy. *Postgrad Med* 2008;120:E01-9.
13. Callaway LK, Prins JB, Chang AM, McIntyre HD. The prevalence and impact of overweight and obesity in an Australian obstetric population. *Med J Aust* 2006;184:56-9.
14. Krishnamoorthy U, Schram CM, Hill SR. Maternal obesity in pregnancy: Is it time for meaningful research to inform preventive and management strategies? *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 2006;113:1134-40.
15. Saravanakumar K, Rao SG, Cooper GM. Obesity and obstetric anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia* 2006;61:36-48.
16. Moynihan AT, Hehir MP, Glavey SV, Smith TJ, Morrison JJ. Inhibitory effect of leptin on human uterine contractility in vitro. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2006;195:504-9.
17. Bloomfield FH, Oliver MH, Hawkins P, Campbell M, Phillips DJ, Gluckman PD, et al. A periconceptional nutritional origin for noninfectious preterm birth. *Science* 2003;300:606.
18. Choudhry H, Choudhry A, Azam N, Jan S. Effects of obesity on pregnancy and its outcome. *Pak Armed Forces J* 2009;59:315-9.
19. Doherty DA, Magann EF, Francis J, Morrison JC, Newnham JP. Pre-pregnancy body mass index and pregnancy outcomes. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2006;95:242-7.
20. Crane SS, Wojtowycz MA, Dye TD, Aubry RH, Artal R. Association between prepregnancy obesity and the risk of cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol* 1997;89:213-6.
21. Sherrard A, Platt RW, Vallerand D, Usher RH, Zhang X, Kramer MS. Maternal anthropometric risk factors for caesarean delivery before or after onset of labour. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 2007;114:1088-96.
22. Galtier-dereure F, Montpeyroux F, Boulot P, Bringer J, Jaffiol C. Weight excess before pregnancy: complications and cost. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1995;19:443-8.
23. Johnson JW, Longmate JA, Frentzen B. Excessive maternal weight and pregnancy outcome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1992;167:353-70.
24. Zhou XL. Clinical analysis of the relation between maternal body weight and high risk factors during pregnancy and delivery. *Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi* 1993;28:397-8.